

---

# Exercise 11 for Theoretical Solid State Physics in Summer 2021

Prof. Dr. Andreas Klümper

Mathis Giesen

(jan.giesen@uni-wuppertal.de

G.11.07)

Submission: 02.07.2021, 12:00 in the P.O. Box Giesen on D.10 or by e-mail

Discussion: 05.07.2021, 14:15

## 1. BCH formula (10 points)

- (a) Show for an operator  $\mathbf{L}$ , linear in the creation and annihilation operators  $\mathbf{b}_j^\dagger$  and  $\mathbf{b}_j$  of a harmonic oscillator, i.e.  $\mathbf{L} = \sum_j (c_j \mathbf{b}_j + d_j \mathbf{b}_j^\dagger)$ , the following relation

$$\langle e^{\mathbf{L}} \rangle = e^{\frac{\langle \mathbf{L}^2 \rangle}{2}},$$

where the brackets  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  describe the expectation value with respect to the canonical density operator of the harmonic oscillator  $\mathbf{H} = \sum_j \omega_j \left( \mathbf{b}_j^\dagger \mathbf{b}_j + \frac{1}{2} \right)$ .

Hint: Show the equation first for a single mode, i.e. for  $\mathbf{L} = x\mathbf{b} + y\mathbf{b}^\dagger$ . By definition, the LHS as well as the RHS of

$$\langle e^{\mathbf{L}} \rangle = e^{\frac{\langle \mathbf{L}^2 \rangle}{2}},$$

are functions of the two arguments  $x, y$ . In fact, both sides of the equation are functions only of a single variable, namely of the product  $z := x \cdot y$ . Why? As an illustration evaluate  $\langle \mathbf{L}^2 \rangle$  explicitly. A similar explicit evaluation of the LHS is difficult.

Instead of a direct evaluation of the LHS we can derive a simple functional equation. Denote the density operator by

$$\rho := \frac{e^{-\beta\omega\mathbf{b}^\dagger\mathbf{b}}}{Z}$$

Because of the identity

$$\mathbf{b}\rho = e^{-\beta\omega}\rho\mathbf{b}$$

we find

$$\langle e^{y\mathbf{b}^\dagger} e^{x\mathbf{b}} \rangle = \text{Tr} \left[ e^{y\mathbf{b}^\dagger} e^{x\mathbf{b}} \rho \right] = \text{Tr} \left[ e^{y\mathbf{b}^\dagger} \rho e^{\tilde{x}\mathbf{b}} \right] = \text{Tr} \left[ e^{\tilde{x}\mathbf{b}} e^{y\mathbf{b}^\dagger} \rho \right] = \langle e^{\tilde{x}\mathbf{b}} e^{y\mathbf{b}^\dagger} \rangle$$

with  $\tilde{x} := e^{-\beta\omega}x$ . From this you obtain the relation

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}xy} \langle e^{\mathbf{L}} \rangle = e^{\frac{1}{2}\tilde{x}y} \langle e^{\tilde{\mathbf{L}}} \rangle,$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}$  is similar to  $\mathbf{L}$  with  $x$  replaced by  $\tilde{x}$  (and same  $y$ ). This equation can be written with  $l(z) := \log(\langle e^{\mathbf{L}} \rangle)$  as

$$-\frac{1}{2}z + l(z) = \frac{1}{2}ze^{-\beta\omega} + l(e^{-\beta\omega}z),$$

where  $z$  is a variable and  $e^{-\beta\omega}$  is a constant. Solve this functional equation for  $l(z)$  and find the identity.

Last you can treat the general case with  $\mathbf{L}$  being a sum over several modes by reducing the expectation values to products over single mode expressions.

- (b) Show for operators  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ , which are linear in the creation and annihilation operators  $\mathbf{b}_j^\dagger$  and  $\mathbf{b}_j$ , the relation

$$\langle e^{\mathbf{A}} e^{\mathbf{B}} \rangle = e^{\frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{A}^2 + 2\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}^2 \rangle}.$$

## 2. Debye-Waller factor (6 points)

The Debye-Waller factor for a sc-lattice is given by

$$W(q) = \frac{\hbar q^2}{4M} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\omega} D(\omega) \coth \frac{\hbar\beta\omega}{2}.$$

- (a) Compute  $W(q)$  for the Debye model and consider the behavior for  $T \ll \hbar\omega_D$  and for  $T \gg \hbar\omega_D$ .
- (b) Compute  $W(q)$  for the Einstein model and consider the behavior for  $T \ll \hbar\omega_0$  and for  $T \gg \hbar\omega_0$ .